# Creating Customized Reports for Course Feedback

**Bluenotes GLOBAL 2019** 



## Agenda

- About Fanshawe
- Our Blue configuration
- Reporting development











# **Our Students**

# 22,535 Post-Secondary 1,315 Apprentice 819 EAP 24,669 Total (2017-2018)

32% International India China **Philippines** Nigeria Vietnam Korea



# **Our Full-Time Staff**

501 Faculty

575 Staff

149 Administrators



## **Our Programs**

Post-Secondary: 172 full-time 40 part-time

In-class/Hybrid Modular Online

29 full/part-time apprentice2 upgrading programs



# By CredentialCertificate14.5%Diploma43.7%Advanced Diploma20.5%Undergraduate Degree3.7%Graduate Certificate17.6%

## Total

22,535



# **Our Blue Platform**

- Version 7.10
- Surveys in 6 languages
- Data Sync tool
- Data Integrity Gateway
- LMS-Blue integration underway



## **Survey audiences**

- All full-time and part-time students
- Regular, modular, and off-cycle starts
- In-class/hybrid delivery
- Online delivery
- Clinical placements



## Overview

- Student Feedback Surveys converted to Blue in Fall 2015
- Prior to Fall 2015, surveys were administered in-class
- Reports were generated by a third party
- Distributed ~ 2 months after survey administration
- 8 historical reports



# **Historical Reporting**

- **Course Summary** separate report for each course
- **Professor Summary** summary report of all courses taught by each professor
- **Professor Feedback Means by Semester** overall mean scores for the past 5 semesters
- **OVERALL (by School)** school results by question compared to college mean
- **OVERALL (by Program)** program results by question compared to school and college mean
- **OVERALL** summary of school and program means
- Matrix Summary overall mean scores for the past 5 semesters by school
- **Percent Contacted** response rates by school



## **Initial Acceptance of Blue Reports**

- Reports were generated and distributed within Blue
- New reports looked different (layout, colours, metrics) and were confusing to management and professors
- Lengthy and laborious to find specific results
- Certain metrics were no longer reported/reported incorrectly
- Received numerous questions and complaints



"If you want to make enemies, try to change something." Woodrow Wilson



"People are very open-minded about new things, as long as they're exactly like the old ones." Charles F. Kettering



# **Reporting Team**

Review and revise reports as necessary

Course Summary Report

within the semester.

- What are the major gaps in  $\checkmark$ reporting?
- Can reports be minimized?
- Which reports are still beneficial?
- Can the data be presented  $\checkmark$ differently?
- Work with Blue to develop  $\checkmark$ new reports that meet the college reporting needs

Report	Details of CCI Re
incpoint .	Details of certic

- total # of responses, school, course, section, and A separate professor report for professor details in title section of report each course section taught
  - overall (question 21) professor results with bar graph percentages for each response; college and school average comparisons
  - professor results for all other questions with bar graph percentages for each response; school average comparisons
  - \*\* school percent includes results for all faculty who report to the school

Course

within the semester.

Individual Teacher Report for total # of responses, response rate, school, course, section, and professor details on title page of report A separate professor report for professor mean score, count, and percentages for each each course section taught response by question in table format

- overall (question #21) professor results with bar graph percentages for each response; includes mean and standard deviation
- overall professor mean score for the course with school and college comparison in bar graph format
- professor mean score for each question with school and college comparison in bar graph format
- aggregate chart by question (negative agreement, neutral, positive agreement)
- top and bottom ranks (strengths and areas for improvement)
- written responses

#### **REPORT ISSUES/QUESTIONS:**

- why is overall (question #21) reported in different format than all other questions (bar graph) - different scale than other guestions but should it be displayed in the same format as the others (table)
- which format is better (table vs. bar graph)
- the overall results are not provided in table, only in the comparison bar graphs - this result should be on front of report
- do they need standard deviation scores (will they know what this means)
- do they want/like the aggregate chart
- do they want/like to top and bottom ranks



# **Reporting Outcomes**

- Customize reports to ensure consistent College-wide reporting
- Report enhancements (as available through Blue)
- Minimize length of reports
- Provide summary data at the beginning for quick analysis
- Create dashboard reports to be posted on the Business Intelligence Library
- 5 reports generated through Blue; 3 dashboard reports generated through Excel
- Distributed ~ 2 weeks after survey administration



## **Example: Course Summary Reports**

N/a	Winter 2015 - Stude	ent Feedback Su	irvey		CONFID	ENTIAL
XX	Prof. Scho					
~~~	Cours	se: on:	·			
FANCUAWE	Section	on: a contract.	···			
FANSHAWE	Profess	or:	Sec. 19		# Respon	1565
	Q21. Over	all, the effectivenes	s of the professor/instru	ictor Col. *Sch.		
		s course.		Avg. Avg.		
	Excelle	nt <b>Maniha and An</b>	90%	60% 57%		
	Go	od 10%		25% 24%		
	Satisfacto	my 0%		10% 10%		
	Po	or 0%		4% 6%		
	Very Po	ar 0%		2% 3%		
-		The profe	ssor/instructor	:		
Q1. Starts class	es on time.	*Sch.	Q2. Provides res promptly.	ults of tests/assignments	1	*Sch.
Álways		100% 70%	Always a		100%	57%
Most of the Time 0		19%	Most of the Time 05	%	_	24%
Half of the Time 0		7%	Half of the Time 05	<b>K</b>		129
Not Very Often 0		3%	Not Very Often 05	%		4%
Never 0	%	2%	Never 0	%		2%
	(Not Applicable: 0%	896		(Not Applicable: 0%	1	1%
Q3. Maintains c	control of the class,		Q4. Manages cla	ess time productively.		
Always 🔳		100% 63%	Ahvays 🔳	al and the second state	100%	64%
Most of the Time 0		23%				20%
Half of the Time 0		10%	Half of the Time -05	K6		109
Not Very Often 0		3%	Not Very Often 0	%		49
Never 0	%	2%	Never 05	Ye .		29
	(Not Applicable: 0%	8%		(Not Applicable: 0%	i i	5%
05. Answers qu	estions clearly.		Q6. Uses Fansha	weOnline effectively.		
	80%	6 59%		08	<i>V</i> a	589
Most of the Time		20%				239
Half of the Time		11%				89
Not Very Often 0		6%				69
Never Q	%	4%	Never 05	%		49

#### **Old Report**

- 2 pages
- No colour
- · Number of responses on top banner
- Overall results with comparison to College and School
- Results by question with comparison to School



## **Course Summary Reports – cont'd**

#### The Professor/Instructor

	Score Mean	Count	Never 1	Not Very Often 2	Half of the Time 3	Most of the Time 4	Always 5
Starts classes on time.	4.4	8	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	62.5 %	37.5 %
Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.	3.6	7	0.0 %	14.3 %	42.9 %	14.3 %	28.6 %
Maintains control of the class.	4.3	8	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	75.0 %	25.0 %
Manages class time productively.	4.4	8	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	62.5 %	37.5 %
Answers questions clearly.	4.1	8	0.0 %	0.0 %	12.5 %	62.5 %	25.0 %
Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	3.9	8	0.0 %	12.5 %	25.0 %	25.0 %	37.5 %
Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.0	8	0.0 %	0.0 %	25.0 %	50.0 %	25.0 %
Provides fair evaluations.	4.1	7	0.0 %	0.0 %	14.3 %	57.1 %	28.6 %

#### Overall, the effectiveness of the professor/instructor in this course.

Mean Standard Deviation		3.8
Statistics		Value
Poor (0.0%) - Satisfactory (37.5%) - Good (50.0%) - Excellent (12.5%) - [Total (8)] -	50%	100%
Very Poor (0.0%) -		

#### **Comparative Scores**

#### The Professor/Instructor



#### The Professor/Instructor - Aggregate Chart

Note that in the following aggregate chart "Always" and "Most of the Time" have been grouped together as "Positive Agreement" while "Never", and "Not Very Often" have been grouped together as "Negative Agreement".



#### Rating scale questions, top and bottom ranks

Strengths		
<ol> <li>Is respectful of everyone.</li> </ol>	Professor/Instructor	4.8
2 Starts classes on time.	Professor/Instructor	4.4
3 Manages class time productively.	Professor/Instructor	4.4

Areas for Improvement		
1 Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.	Professor/Instructor	3.6
2 Engages me in learning.	Professor/Instructor	3.8
3 Is available for help outside the classroom.	Professor/Instructor	3.8

#### Written Response

Please comment on how your instructor helped your learning in this course or suggest possible changes that would improve your learning. Inappropriate comments will not be reported.

Comment			

#### **New Report**

- 10 pages
- Unfavourable colours
- Response rate on title page
- Results by question in table
- Overall question in graph
- Results by question with comparison to School and College in graph
- Aggregate Chart
- · Strengths and Areas for Improvement
- Comments



## **Course Summary Reports – cont'd**

Teacher		Course	
Tim Handelr	nan	GRAF-1041-03 Creative Concepts 2	
Overall Mean Score			
Competency			Your Score

#### Rating scale questions, top and bottom ranks

Тор	Ranks	
1	Starts classes on time.	5.00
2	Manages class time productively.	4.89
3	Is well prepared for each class.	4.89
Botto	tom Ranks	
1	Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	3.67
2	Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.22
3	Communicates clearly and effectively.	4.33

#### The Professor/Instructor - Tim Handelman - Aggregate Chart

Note that in the following aggregate chart "Always", and "Most of the Time" have been grouped together as "Positive Agreement" while "Never", and "Not Very Often" have been grouped together as "Negative Agreement".



#### The Professor/Instructor Tim Handelman

								Very	Half of	Most of	
	Mean	Count	Never 1	Often 2	the Time 3	the Time 4	Always 5				
Starts classes on time.	5.00	9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%				
Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.	4.44	9	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	33.3%	55.6%				
Maintains control of the class.	4.67	9	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	11.1%	77.8%				
Manages class time productively.	4.89	9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	88.9%				
Answers questions clearly.	4.44	9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.6%	44.4%				
Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	3.67	9	11.1%	11.1%	11.1%	33.3%	33.3%				
Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.22	9	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	44.4%	44.4%				
Provides fair evaluations.	4.44	9	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	22.2%	66.7%				

#### **Comparative Scores**

The Professor/Instructor Tim Handelman

Question	Your Score	School (Design)	College
	Mean	Mean	Mean
Starts classes on time.	5.00	4.65	4.78
Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.	4.44	4.42	4.55
Maintains control of the class.	4.67	4.54	4.66
Manages class time productively.	4.89	4.45	4.59
Answers questions clearly.	4.44	4.38	4.53
Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	3.67	4.36	4.58
Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.22	4.36	4.53
Provides fair evaluations.	4.44	4.49	4.60
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

#### Written Response

Please comment on how your instructor Tim Handelman helped your learning in this course or suggest possible changes that would improve your learning. Inappropriate comments will not be reported. Comments I always look forward to going to this class, it forces me to try new things with art and you always learn something interesting

	Tim is a fun energetic teacher. He easily captures the attention of students and is really nice.
	Tim is an excellent professor who is always prepared with very informative presentations. He also makes class enjoyable because he
1	always finds a way to joke around with us

#### **Revised Report**

- 5 pages
- Warm colours
- Response rate on title page
- Overall mean score for the course (create virtual question to include all questions – cross category, summary)
- Results by question
- Comparative Scores mean score by question with comparison to School and College (score block, with group elements for school and college)
- Top and Bottom Ranks (cross category, question ranking)
- Aggregate Chart
- Comments



## **Course Summary Reports – All Courses**

Overall Mean Score

Competency	Your Score (All Courses)
Professor/Instructor	4.53
Overall Mean Score by Delivery	

Competency	Your Score (All Courses)
In-Class	4.53
Online	NRP

#### **Comparative Scores**

The Professor/Instructor Tim Handelman (In-Class)

Question	Your Score (All Courses)	School (Design)	College
	Mean	Mean	Mean
Starts classes on time.	4.85	4.65	4.78
Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.	4.37	4.42	4.55
Maintains control of the class.	4.78	4.54	4.66
Manages class time productively.	4.76	4.45	4.59
Answers questions clearly.	4.39	4.38	4.53
Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	3.64	4.36	4.58
Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.05	4.36	4.53
Provides fair evaluations.	4.37	4.49	4.60

#### The Professor/Instructor - Tim Handelman (In-Class) - Aggregate Chart

Note that in the following aggregate chart "Always", and "Most of the Time" have been grouped together as "Positive Agreement" while "Never", and "Not Very Often" have been grouped together as "Negative Agreement"



#### **Revised Report**

- 5 10 pages
- Overall mean score for the all courses
- · Overall mean score by delivery
- · Results by question for each course taught
- **Comparative Scores mean** • score by question with comparison to School and College
- Aggregate Chart

#### The Professor/Instructor Tim Handelman (In-Class)

#### 1. Starts classes on time.

Starts classes on time.		
Name	Mean	Count
Overall	4.85	41
GRAF-1040-01 Creative Concepts 1	4.86	7
GRAF-1040-02 Creative Concepts 1	4.80	5
GRAF-1041-01 Creative Concepts 2	5.00	5
GRAF-1041-02 Creative Concepts 2	4.50	8
GRAF-1041-03 Creative Concepts 2	5.00	9
GRAF-1041-04 Creative Concepts 2	5.00	7

#### 2. Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.

Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.				
Name	Mean	Count		
Overall	4.37	41		
GRAF-1040-01 Creative Concepts 1	4.43	7		
GRAF-1040-02 Creative Concepts 1	4.60	5		
GRAF-1041-01 Creative Concepts 2	4.80	5		
GRAF-1041-02 Creative Concepts 2	3.88	8		
GRAF-1041-03 Creative Concepts 2	4.44	9		
GRAF-1041-04 Creative Concepts 2	4.29	7		



## **Trend Report – All Courses**

Overall Mean Score					
		Your Score (All Course			
Competency	Summer 2017	Winter 2018	Summer 2018		Winter 2019
Professor/Instructor	4.91	4.42	3.60	4.67	4.53

#### **Overall Mean Score by Delivery**

		Your Sco	ore (All Cou	rses)	
Competency	Summer 2017	Winter 2018	Summer 2018	Fall 2018	
In-Class	4.91	4.41	3.57	4.68	4.53
Online	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP	NRP

#### The Professor/Instructor Tim Handelman (In-Class)

		Your Score (All Courses)				
Competency	Summer 2017	Winter 2018	Summer 2018	Fall 2018	Winter 2019	
1. Starts classes on time.	5.00	4.69	3.71	4.82	4.85	
2. Provides results of tests/assignments promptly.	4.70	3.89	2.00	4.65	4.37	
3. Maintains control of the class.	5.00	4.56	3.86	4.82	4.78	
4. Manages class time productively.	4.90	4.33	3.86	4.88	4.76	
5. Answers questions clearly.	4.70	4.33	3.29	4.53	4.39	
6. Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	4.90	2.91	3.00	3.64	3.64	
7. Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.90	4.08	3.14	4.50	4.05	
8. Provides fair evaluations.	4.90	4.28	2.60	4.44	4.37	

#### **Revised Report**

- 3 pages
- Overall mean score for the all courses for the past 5 terms
- Overall mean score by delivery for the past 5 terms
- Overall mean scores by question for the past 5 years



## **School and College Reports**

## **Old Report**

- 2-3 pages
- Overall mean score by question for all courses within the School with a comparison to the College

## **New Report**

- 22 pages
- Separate graphs for each question with mean reported in table format
- Overall School mean reported on page 14
- Graphical comparison to college results for each question

### **Revised Report**

- 5 pages
- · Overall mean score for the School and overall mean score by delivery with comparison to overall College score
- Spreadsheet block which includes mean, response, and frequency for each question
- Comparison to college results for each question in 1 table



# **Issues with Program Mean**

- School mean includes results for all professors who report to the School
- Program mean includes results for all students within a program
- Program reports within Blue included all programs taught by professors who report to the School
  - The program mean report for the School of Business included programs from the School of Design because their was a course offered in a Design program that was taught by a professor who reported to the School of Business
- Each report averaged over 100 pages for each School



# How do we create a Program Mean Report to meet the needs of the College?

- Program means by School should only include programs offered within the School – based on the student demographic
- Created a dashboard report within Excel by exporting the raw data and calculating mean scores

## STUDENT FEEDBACK SURVEYS

Mean Score by Program					
	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Fall
	2017	2017	2018	2018	2018
BED1 - Bachelor of Environmental Design and Planning	4.29		4.45		4.27
BID1 - Bachelor of Interior Design	4.04		4.32		4.29
DFN1 - Design Foundations	4.87		4.55		4.82
DFS4 - Fashion Design	4.71		4.71		4.58
DLS4 - Landscape Design	4.63		4.33		4.69
FAF1 - Fine Art Foundation	4.54		4.37		4.36
FAS1 - Fine Art	4.24		4.37		4.38
FMC2 - Fashion Merchandising	4.48		4.59		
FMC3 - Fashion Marketing and Management			4.72		4.63
GIS1 - Geographic Information Systems (GIS)	4.50		4.20		4.61
GRD1 - Graphic Design	4.53	4.86	4.47	4.42	4.54
HTA2 - Horticultural Technician Apprentice	4.55		4.80		
HTN1 - Horticulture Technician	4.46		4.39		4.47
ILP1 - Integrated Land Planning Technologies	4.45	4.86	4.44	4.05	
ITD1 - Interior Decorating	4.37		4.28		4.19
URP2 - GIS and Urban Planning	4.43		3.78		4.30

Term	<b>第三</b> - 下、
Fall 2018	Summer 2017
Summer 2018	Winter 2017
Winter 2018	

School	#	×
Applied Science and Technol	ogy	
Building Technology		
Community Studies		
Contemporary Media		
Design		
Digital and Performing Arts		
English Language Institute		
Health Sciences		
Huron/Bruce Regional Sites		
Information Technology		
Kinlin School of Business		
Language & Liberal Studies		
Norton Wolf School of Aviati	ion	
Nursing		
Public Safety		
Simcoe/Norfolk Campus		
St Thomas/Elgin Campus		
Tourism, Hospitality and Cul	linary	<i></i>
Transportation Technology		
Woodstock/Oxford Campus		



## **Dashboard Reports**

	FANSHAWE
CTUDENT	
STUDENT	
FEEDBACK SUP	<b>VFYS</b>
I LED BACK SOI	

[erm	¥= 🍢	Delivery 🏼 🎽
Fall 2015	Fall 2016	In-Class Questions
Fall 2018	Summer 2017	Online Questions
Summer 2018	Winter 2016	Overall Question
Winter 2017	Winter 2018	

ProgramCode	¥= 5.
BED1	BID1
DFN1	DFS4
DLS4	FAF1
FAS1	FMC3
GRD1	HTN1
ITD1	Overall College
URP2	AAM1
AAM2	AAM3
AAM4	ABC5
ABT1	ADC1
ADE1	ADP2
AEL1J	AFM1

¥ 🏹

				- I I	GRD1
School 🚝 🍢					
Applied Science and Technology	Building Technology	Contemporary Media	Design		URP2
Digital and Performing Arts	English Language Institute	Health Sciences	Human Services		AAM2
Huron/Bruce Regional Sites	Information Technology	Kinlin School of Business	Language & Liberal Studies		AAM4
Norton Wolf School of Aviation	Nursing	Overall College	Public Safety		ABT1
Simcoe/Norfolk Campus	St Thomas/Elgin Campus	Tourism, Hospitality and Culinar	Transportation Technology		ADE1
Woodstock/Oxford Campus	]				AEL1J

Mean Score by Question									
	Overall								
	College	BED1	BID1	DFN1	DFS4	DLS4	FAF1	FAS1	GRD1
1. Starts classes on time.	4.77	4.62	4.77	4.83	4.87	4.84	4.59	4.68	4.80
2. Provides results of test/assignments promptly.	4.52	4.18	4.32	4.82	4.66	4.60	4.54	4.43	4.46
3. Maintains control of the class.	4.65	4.57	4.41	4.91	4.84	4.83	4.39	4.24	4.70
4. Manages class time productively.	4.58	4.33	4.20	4.87	4.79	4.75	4.29	4.32	4.59
5. Answers questions clearly.	4.49	4.30	3.87	4.96	4.53	4.72	4.27	4.31	4.50
6. Uses FanshaweOnline effectively.	4.55	4.28	4.27	4.61	4.60	4.56	4.36	4.39	4.37
7. Sets clear expectations for evaluations.	4.52	4.32	3.99	4.83	4.59	4.58	4.36	4.37	4.49
8. Provides fair evaluations.	4.60	4.47	4.33	5.00	4.64	4.79	4.42	4.45	4.59



# **Next Steps**

- Revisit all reports to add potential enhancements based on Blue upgrades
- Investigate Blue Reporting to take advantage of relationship demographics?



