

CUD Insights on Stakeholders Engagement in Closing the Survey Performance Loop

Ms. Tina Daaboul Dr. Faridah Maarof















Facts About Canadian University Dubai



مامعة زايــ YED UNIVERSITY



Faculties

bluenotes

Faculty of Architecture and Interior Design
Faculty of Communication, Arts and Science
Faculty of Engineering, Applied Science and Technology
Faculty of Management

bluenote





History of Surveys at CUD









Blue Explorance

2021





Objectives

- 1. Overall Survey Process at CUD
- 2. Student Course Evaluation Implementation with BLUE
 - i. The Stakeholders Engagement Process
 - ii. Closing the Survey Performance Loop
- **3. Enhancement of the Employer Survey with BLUE**
- 4. Recommendations Towards Continuous Improvement

. bluenotes





مامعة زابيد ZAYED UNIVERSITY



Institutional Surveys

Institutional Surveys are conducted to evaluate the level of experience and satisfaction of various stakeholders

A stakeholders is a group or individual who is involved, or has an impact on the survey process

Example of a stakeholder: active students, exiting students, graduated students, instructors, staff, employers

Both quantitative and qualitative responses from all the surveys are evaluated, analyzed and reported for action











Overall Survey Process at CUD

Completion of checklist prior to survey implementation



Survey Campaign based on the Campaign Guidelines



Update of the sample size. Taking action of the sample size enhancement if required









From results to action. Taking action from the survey findings to improve performance





Survey Campaign to Engage the Students

Pre-Launching Message

Dear Student,

As a new Canadian University Dubai (CUD) student, your opinions and suggestions are extremely valuable. The objective of the New Student Survey is to enhance the quality of the University's services, and to receive feedback concerning your personal experiences of joining CUD.

The New Student Survey will be launched on September 8, 2021.

Please take this opportunity to participate in CUD's New Student Survey and be an active part of the innovation and improvement to enrich the experience and employability of current and future CUD students.

We will ensure all feedback is considered and that effective action will be taken.

This communication has been sent on behalf of the Institutional Research & Planning

Canadian University Dubai











Survey Campaign to Engage the Students

Posters







KES 5 MINUTE Are you a NEW STUDENT? vation and Be an active part of the in e your voice and tell t of our University and help ing CUD Complete the New Student Survey before it exp nber 11th , 2021



Thank you for taking the time to be part of this survey





Survey Campaign to Engage the Students

Email Reminders from BLUE

Dear Student,

Where would you like to see changes made at Canadian University Dubai? What do we need to improve upon?

Now is your chance to give us your feedback by completing the Student Satisfaction Survey. We promise you that the survey will not take more than 2 minutes of your time.

Click here to access the Student Satisfaction Survey.

We are looking forward to your feedback and we ensure you that your responses will remain confidential.

Dear CUD Students,

Your feedback on the courses you are taking this Spring is so important. It helps faculty modify and improve their courses.

In addition, these evaluations are a significant component of our faculty review process so please take time to answer the questions thoughtfully. Your Opinion Matters!

The surveys are accessible <u>Here</u> until May 6th, 2022.

Be assured that all responses are confidential.









Promotion Video





Survey Campaign to Engage the Students Events













Student Course Evaluation Implementation with BLUE













Student Course Evaluation Implementation with BLUE

Questionnaire and email communications are available in English and Arabic

Email communications between BLUE and stakeholders are done through yourvoice@cud.ac.ae – You Speak, We Listen, We Act

Data in BLUE are synchronized daily with the Student Information System

Students' and Instructors' tasks are accessible on Moodle through BLUE











Stakeholder Engagement Process



* VPAA is also informed of the Survey being launched











Engaging the Deans, Head of Departments, & Instructors in Monitoring the Response Rates

- Sessions held for the Quality Assurance Manual
- Subject View Management (SVM) on BLUE
- Blue Dashboard Response Rate









Language English \sim ACT3.32 Principles of Accounting 1 Students FO https://go.blueja.io/0wKV5LeHTUC6t5cX0S8ojg To access the evaluation, scan this QR code with your mobile phone.





Analyzing the results

Overall scores categorized by Area

Download PDE

Overall Report for Student Course Evaluation – Spring 2021-2022

Report Summary - Spring 21-22

Faculty	Count of Courses	Registered	Responded	Response Rate (%)	Course Score	Instructor Score	Overall Score
154.0	4 5	895	840	85.53	4.32	1.60	4.47
MAG	160	3822	3865	使地	4.24	4,43	4.54
Here's I	69	072	736	67.83	4,40	4.54	4.40
F7000	452	2992	1941	63.37	4 AQ	4.44	437
Total CUD	272	(34)	3879	60.00	4.38	1.48	4.28

		Green Area Overa	II results with scor	<mark>e >= 4</mark> (Click here t	o view the lis	st)	
Faculty	Count of Courses	Registered	Responded	Response Rate (%)	Course Score	Instructor Score	Overall Score
PHID.	19	<u> 1985</u>	628	24.51	4.4%	195	9.69
143955	0.9	3457	2055	Co. 761	400	4.90	4.41
FEAST	N0	1107	257	06.77	4.44	435	4.92
D06	165	2408	1887	\$3.25	4.30	4050	4,45

Yellow Area Overall results with score between 3.50 - 3.99 (Click here to view the list)

Faculty	Count of Courses	Registered	Responded	Response Rate (%)	Course Score	Instructor Score	Overall Score
HAID	4	bé	40	64.62	2.76	8.59	3.87
M403	18	443	303	69.80	5.66	5.87	3.03
reast.	- A - 1	40	55	85,25	3.79	4.62	330
17300	17	300	1996	691269	8.85	8.90	5.55

Red Area Overall results with score < 3.50 (Click here to view the list) Response Rate Count of Course Instructor Faculty Registered Responded **Overall Score** Score Courses Score (%) HOM: 18 S., 59 AN - S - AQ 8.55 A 451 11 11 51.62 2.09 FEAST 11 101 2.96 2.10 1649 20 ... 02.0F 3.20 3.40 3.30 .

Example of list of courses according to Area



Report List - Individual Report for Student Course Evaluation

This table provides a list of links to reports in both PDF and HTML format. The PDF versions of the reports listed here are not WCAG 2.0 compliant. Please use the HTML version by opening the link located in the Report Title column of the table as an accessible alternative.

				Searc
Res	ults:	1 - 10 of -	🛛 Item	(s)
	PD F	<u>Report T</u>	i <u>tle</u> _▲	
	PDF	<u>2011)6</u>	huana	e el la
	PDF	ARCOH	Stuctu	1 \$ PB
	PDF	AISCHSS	a harga	elect the
	PDF	BC25265	Selbon	e Steo
	PDF	805299	<u>System</u>	<u>terel i</u>
	PDF	<u>CMN210</u>	lexi, hi	inde an
	PDF	<u>CR1900 (</u>	Ng kuli D	culgn 3
	PDF	CRISM 1	The Case	ativa Pr
	PDF	DESIADO	Profess	tional 5
	PDF	DESI400	lineitz	sonei B
Se	elect		Deselec	t All







clear search	
	<u>Threshold</u> <u>Status</u>
saming 1. Lec sACh, 1952 for more lighter at 19M	Met
en: (ARS) Sinn 1 for Steve Deeper 1880	Met
segue Lees SAIRCH SS In 2 for Sect Marsh JLAID	Met
heatens-Lec (1803-203-) ha tames thatanes - (1583)	Met
hogenmentigs loss 2 eross 20% inter Aster Minasaren - LiteAvs L	Met
d Sounds Lee / Oldh 210-1 for Lankelon Chadh - 1 CAS	Met
hadiw- Lists / CRil 300-Lists 1 for Raman Al Madi - (RØAØ	Met
wetras Lee / CRI 201-1 for Chies Bennet - \$2203	Met
uningua and Portlairs- Luis (DCSI 400-Lais 1 MrAlbert Pakhaury - IINE)	Met
usiness and Parihata-Dec ADESI 899.1 or Albert Ladmany -1.400	Met
View Download PDF	





Analyzing the Comments

Blue's Text Analytics

Blue Text Analysis uses algorithms that examine the content of open-ended comments provided by the students. Based on an assigned dictionary, it attempts to place the comments into predefined categories established in the dictionary.

Comments about the Courses:

Attributes [No. of comments]	Overall [2935]
POSITIVE - GENERAL	10 10 %
INTERESTING	******
HELPFUL / SUPPORTIVE	製 懇望 %
DIFFICULT	中.前 %
FUNNY / ENTERTAINING	171 %
IMPORTANT / RELEVANT	3.43 %
INSTRUCTIVE / INFORMATIVE	3.54 %
EASY	S.39 %
ENJOYABLE	1 #8 %
KIND / PERSONABLE	と.+わ %













Approval of the Survey Report Distribution

Email Sent to President for Approval of the SCE reports

Subject: Student Course Evaluation Report for Approval

Dear Dr. Lites,

You are invited to view the Student Course Evaluation Reports for the Spring semester of 2021-22. Please click on the below report names to view the results:

- Overall Report 1.
- **Report by Faculty** 2.
- Report for each course 3.

Kindly click <u>Here</u> to give us your feedback about the reports.

Survey Approval Questionnaire on Blue

Student Course Evaluation Report - Spring 21-22	Save
Do you approve the Student Course Evaluation Report for the Spring semester of 2021-22?* Approved Not Approved 	
Comments: Enter text here	
Previous Submit	<u>Go to top</u>















Closing the Survey Performance Loop

- Ensure the continuous improvement of key business processes and closing the performance gaps from the feedback of the surveys.
- ✓ The impact of the action taken to close the loop is called the **Result** to Action (R2A) Impact.















Sample of the Improvement Actions

Opinion about the course	Rating	Actions
		Add actions for a
I gained new knowledge from this course.	4.12	
The course is supported with enough illustrations (examples, cases, exercises etc.)	3,39	Suggest giving studen deliveries
The learning materials are relevant and appropriate (book, course note, slides, videos, etc.)	347	As instability provides teachards to atmaking deculd be replaced w Auchinecture stateme
I am aware of the grading and evaluation assessment (quiz, type of exam, etc.)	432	
I am motivated to learn this course	2.05	Suggest more practic data and field trips to application of structure
Time spent outside class for studying and preparing for this course is appropriate.	342	hum analysis lives to p outside class.
The course work helps me improve my knowledge.	3. M	As above it believe the practical scork vessile origin.
The course is intellectually challenging.	9.32	
The overall environment on campus is favourable for learning.	3.65	I cannot comment or issues. Hencever upda visits and genetical to improve this would.
Overall	3.71	







areas scored less than 4

ma more evanates in basice.

ex Constanding action Revolution, then Otel Engineering traderity and with a name approximite book ion λ.

cal esposareno ataxitares da site. to facilitate understanding of the alel aysteres.

wennend enstadunts? Mine spenk-

at less theoretical vests and more é lanor svertika, via siter daita and itieità

a general campus vide endecement in imagest news line symbols and a ips and loss time siding as skolts will





Enhancement of the Employer Survey













Employer Survey

Definition

- CAA 2019 standards compliance and for program accreditation requirements
- Employers are asked to rate the CUD graduates who has worked or are working at their company based on their skills

Challenge

• Getting the contact details of the direct managers of the Alumni

Proposed Approach

• Sending the survey to managers through addressing the HRs of the companies that CUD Alumni are working at

Solution

• Using the same process as the BLUE 360-feedback survey type











Employer Survey Main Questions

State your level of satisfaction of the job and life skills of your employee(s) who graduated from Canadian University Dubai*

	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Satisfied	Very Satisfie
Problem-solving	\circ	0	0	0
Critical and analytical thinking	0	0	0	0
Digital literacy	0	0	0	0
Numeracy skills	0	0	0	0
Writing skills	0	0	0	0
Presenting skills	0	0	0	0
Communication skills	0	0	0	0
Collaboration and teamwork	0	0	0	0

On a scale of 0-10, if yo	u were to hire new employ	ees at your compan	y, how likely w	ould you conside	er hiring a grad	uate from the	Canadian Unive	ersity of [
0 0	2	3 0 4	5	6	07	8	9	

















What is the BLUE 360 Feedback Survey?

Gathering feedback about an individual from multiple perspectives

Individual is evaluated by his manager, peers, clients, partners, senior leaders, and others

An individual can self-rate, and be rated by members of different groups

An individual can select another person to evaluate him/her (Rater Selection)

Rater Selection can be either manually or from a list of predefined users













Employer Survey Approach







explorance.



Sample of the Rater Selection Task



Rater selection for

Follow the below steps to nominate one or more manager from your company, who is in a position to evaluate our

alumni:

- 1. Click on the Add option
- 2. Enter First Name, Last Name, and Email
- 3. Click Add and Submit
- 4. Upon submitting those details, the survey will go directly to the manager(s) that you have nominated

Show less









	Cancel	
en name		
ily name		
ail		
Add		







Outcomes of the Enhanced Employer Survey

✓ Higher response rate

- ✓ Easier to follow up with the managers
- ✓ Reliability of the responses

Question	Managers
	Mean
Problem-solving	504
Critical and analytical thinking	2.28
Digital literacy	80 B
Numeracy skills	4.5
Writing skills	3.65
Presenting skills	
Communication skills	4.3
Collaboration and teamwork	8.75
Time management	3.6
Leadership Skills	
Adaptability & Flexibility	
Job-Related Software Skills	300
What is your evaluation of the competencies and capabilities of Canadian University graduates in general?	5.00
Overall	100

On a scale of 0-10, if you were to hire new employees at your company, how likely would you consider hiring a graduate from the Canadian University of Dubai?

Question

On a scale of 0-10, if you were to hire new employe consider hiring a graduate from the Canadian Unive











		Passive (7-8)	Promoter (9-10)	NPS
ees at your company, how likely would you ersity of Dubai?	*** %	- %	*** %	900





Recommendations Towards Continuous Improvement

Continuous Enhancement of the Stakeholder Engagement Process:

- Achieve higher and reliable response rates for better analysis and 1. reporting
 - Sharing with the students the improvement actions that have been a) taken by CUD
- 2. Automating the closing of the Performance Loop:
 - a) Implementing the Improvement Actions Template on BLUE

Opinion about the course	Rating	Actions	
		Add actions for areas scored less than 4	
I gained new knowledge from this course.	4.12		
The course is supported with enough illustrations (examples, cases, exercises etc.)	5,99	Suggest giving students more reasonales in batare delivaries	
The learning materials are relevant and appropriate (book, course note, slides, videos, etc.)	347	As instability previous Constraints for laws, the best-back to atmosf of Civil Engineering contents and details for replaced with a new appropriate back for Authority to submiss	
I am aware of the grading and evaluation assessment (quiz, type of exam, etc.)	432		
I am motivated to learn this course	4.05	Suggest nove practical exposure to structures de das des aud tiels sites to facilitare understanding of the application of structural systems.	
Time spent outside class for studying and preparing for this course is appropriate.	342	han secare live to transit on dashrid "line speci- ousitic day.	
The course work helps me improve my knowledge.	3.M.	As above I believe that less these stoal work and mase practical work would improve this, via site data and her trips.	
The course is intellectually challenging.	4.32		
The overall environment on campus is favourable for learning.	3.65	I connect commention general comparisons while endoconvert locates. However, again range, it more time spatial condi- ends and generic logic and loss time stating at decise still improve this result.	
Overall	3.71		













شكراً! THANK YOU!















